

**Folketinget – Europaudvalget**

Christiansborg, den 12. januar 2005

OMTRYK  
(forside til bilaget)

Til  
udvalgets medlemmer og stedfortrædere.

**Ad**  
**KOM (2003) 0492 Forslag til Europa-Parlamentets og Rådets forordning om visse fluorholdige drivhusgasser**

Til udvalgets orientering vedlægges henvendelse af 7. januar 2005 fra det italienske Senat vedrørende Senatets behandling af forslag til forordning om visse fluorholdige drivhusgasser.

Henvendelsen fra det italienske Senat er fremsendt som svar på brev af 17. december 2004, som formanden for Folketingets Europaudvalg Claus Larsen-Jensen har sendt til alle COSAC-medlemmerne vedrørende den danske position. Dette brev er ligeledes vedlagt.

Med venlig hilsen

Lone Boelt Møller  
udvalgssekretær

Europaudvalget  
KOM (2003) 0492 - Bilag 2  
Offentlig

COURTESY TRANSLATION

Dear Colleague,

I read with interest your letter concerning the proposal on the Regulation on limiting emissions of certain greenhouse gases containing fluorine.

This is certainly an important and sensitive issue, like everything concerning compliance with and the implementation of the Kyoto Protocol. In this respect, we are currently examining a measure which will enable us to comply with Directive 2003/87/CE on the emission trading mechanism.

As regards the proposal you mentioned and its harmonisation with the Lisbon Strategy, I can assure you that we will examine it carefully so as to take any measures that may be necessary.

Sincerely yours

Sen. Mario Greco

.....  
Claus Larsen-Jensen  
Chairman of the European Affairs Committee  
Parliament of Denmark  
Folketinget  
Christiansborg  
DK - 1240 COPENHAGEN K

## Chairman of the European Affairs Committee

Parliament of Denmark

To xxx

17 December 2004

Ref.No. EUU 225/MATA

Dear colleague,

At the COSAC-meeting in the Hague 23 November 2004 the Danish delegation brought up the problem with the *proposal on the European Parliament and Council Regulation on limiting emissions to the atmosphere of certain greenhouse gases containing fluorine*. In the conclusions from the meeting COSAC urges EU governments to live up to the Lisbon Strategy:

*.. by ensuring more flexibility and well-functioning labour markets while respecting social cohesion, more investment in education and research, more and better jobs, and further reductions in emissions of greenhouse gases, with full respect for the ability of Member States to maintain or enact stricter environmental legislation in order to live up to the principle of sustainable development, and highlights the need for active involvement by national parliaments;*

As mentioned in the meeting I now contact you with a plea to help us work for a reduction in CO<sub>2</sub> gases at EU level. It is the Danish view both in Government and in Parliament that the proposal is a step forward in the right direction for EU to fulfil the obligations in the Kyoto Protocol to reduce CO<sub>2</sub> emissions when you look at the EU as a whole. But the proposal does have some unfortunate consequences and is in conflict with the goals embedded in the Lisbon-Strategy, since the proposal ignores already existing technology able to reduce CO<sub>2</sub> emission by making the use of the harmful greenhouse gases superfluous.

The Lisbon Strategy calls for respect for the environment in the core of growth and jobs. In pursuance of the Lisbon Strategy Denmark has already by law prohibited the use of greenhouse gases containing fluorine. Denmark invested in technology which combines innovative new technology with investments and the creation of new jobs in line with the environmental policy goals in the Lisbon Strategy.

This means that the consequence of the decision made by the Council of Ministers for the Environment on greenhouse gases is that this entire effort will be wasted and the regulation will come to represent a political direction that forbids a country to use the technology developed to benefit employment and the environment. The result will be that EU is harmonising environmental standards downwards in a country solely out of deference to the single market, without taking account of the objectives regarding sustainability, and in conflict with the Lisbon processes.

So I urge you to help us work to tighten up the Commissions proposal - both during the second reading in the European Parliament and in the Council.

Yours sincerely

Claus Larsen-Jensen

